



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/575,995 | 05/11/2007 | Greg H. Crocker | PKT-P1-06(US) | 8906 |
| 28710 7590 12/21/2011 PETER K. TRZYNA, ESQ. P O BOX 7131 CHICAGO, IL 60680 | | | EXAMINER CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS | |
| | | | ART UNIT 3691 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 12/21/2011 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/575,995 | CROCKER, GREG H. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KELLY CAMPEN | 3691 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KELLY CAMPEN (3) _____
 (2) Peter Trzyna (4) _____

Date of Interview: 05 December 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Discussed the suggested amendments and when the amendments would be forthcoming. Applicant's representative agreed to submit amendments this week before the Examiner sends out the next office action. Agreement was reached, applicant to submit earlier discussed amendments and Examiner agreed to consider the preliminary amendments to be filed this week.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Kelly Campen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691